

**PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLAN COMMISSION MEETING  
VILLAGE HALL AUDITORIUM  
9915 39TH AVENUE  
PLEASANT PRAIRIE, WISCONSIN  
6:00 P.M.  
March 12, 2012**

A regular meeting for the Pleasant Prairie Plan Commission convened at 6:00 p.m. on March 12, 2012. Those in attendance were Thomas Terwall; Michael Serpe; Donald Hackbarth; Andrea Rode (Alternate #2); John Braig; Jim Bandura; and Judy Juliana (Alternate #1). Wayne Koessl and Larry Zarletti were excused. Also in attendance were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director and Peggy Herrick, Assistant Zoning Administrator.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER.**
- 2. ROLL CALL.**
- 3. CORRESPONDENCE.**
- 4. CONSIDER THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 30, 2012 PLAN COMMISSION MEETING.**

Jim Bandura:

Move for approval.

Don Hackbarth:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**MOVED BY JIM BANDURA AND SECONDED BY DON HACKBARTH TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 30, 2012 PLAN COMMISSION MEETING AS PRESENTED IN WRITTEN FORM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

**5. CITIZEN COMMENTS.**

Tom Terwall:

If you're here for an item that appears on the agenda tonight as a matter for public hearing, we would ask that you hold your comments until the public hearing is held so your comments can be incorporated as a part of the official record. However, if you're here for an item that's not a public hearing, or you want to raise an issue that's not on the agenda, now would be your opportunity to do so. We'd ask you to step to the microphone and begin by giving us your name and address. Anybody wishing to speak under citizens' comments?

**6. NEW BUSINESS**

Tom Terwall:

Items A, B and C, Jean, you want to combine, is that correct?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Yes.

Tom Terwall:

We need a motion to that effect.

John Braig:

So moved.

Andrea Rode:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**MOTION BY JOHN BRAIG AND A SECOND BY ANDREA RODE TO COMBINE ITEMS A, B AND C. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered. There's been a motion to combine Items A, B and C into one public hearing, however there will be three separate votes on those three issues. Jean?

- A. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT for the request of Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company to create Section 420-128 F (9) to specifically list transmission lines (electric power or natural gas) as a Conditional Use within the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District.**
- B. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF A ZONING MAP AMENDMENT for the request of Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company to correct and rezone the field delineated wetlands into the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District and the non-wetlands into the M-4, Power Generating District.**
- C. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT INCLUDING SITE AND OPERATIONAL PLANS for the request of Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company for the proposed Pleasant Prairie switchyard project including the expansion of an existing electrical switchyard that will involve filling and grading, installation of storm drainage features, construction of a detention pond, relocation of the microwave communication facility and installation of several steel monopole structures that will route transmission lines into and out of the expanded switchyard located west of the main We Energies Pleasant Prairie Power Plant property located at 8000 95th Street.**

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission and audience, we have three items for public hearing this evening all related. The first is a consideration of a zoning text amendment for the request of Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company to create Section 420-128 F (9) to specifically list transmission lines, including electric power or natural gas, as a conditional use within the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District.

The second is consideration of a zoning map amendment for the request of Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company to correct and rezone the field delineated wetlands into the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District, and the non-wetlands into the M-4, Power Generating District.

And the third is the consideration of a conditional use permit including site and operational plans for the request of Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company for the proposed Pleasant Prairie switchyard project including the expansion of an existing electrical switchyard that will involve filling and grading, installation of storm drainage features, construction of a detention pond, relocation of a microwave communication facility, and the installation of several steel monopole structures that will route transmission lines into and out of the expanded switchyard located west of the main We Energies Pleasant Prairie Power Plant property located at 8000 95th Street.

As you mentioned, these items are related and will be discussed at the same time, however separate action is required.

Now, as part of a conditional use permit we have to run through the findings of fact, and so I'll be presenting those before you now. As a part of the hearing record, the Village staff has compiled a listing of findings, exhibits and conclusions regarding the petitioner's request as presented and described in your staff comments

#### Findings of Fact

1. Michael Warwick of American Transmission Company is requesting approval of the following for the proposed Pleasant Prairie switchyard expansion project on property located west of the main We Energies Pleasant Prairie Power Plant property located at 8000 95th Street. There are three items:
  - A Zoning Text Amendment to create Section 420-128 F (9) to specifically list transmission lines as a conditional use within the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District.
  - Zoning Map Amendment to correct the map and rezone the field delineated wetlands into the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District, and the non-wetlands into the M-4, Power Generating District.
  - Conditional use permit including site and operational plans for the proposed Pleasant Prairie switchyard project including the expansion of an existing electrical switchyard that will involve filling and grading, installation of storm drainage features, construction of a detention pond, relocation of the microwave communication facility and installation of several steel monopole structures that will route transmission lines into and out of the expanded switchyard.
2. The subject properties are located in a part of the U.S. Public Land Survey Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 22 East of the Fourth Principal Meridian, in the Village of Pleasant Prairie and further identified as Tax Parcel Numbers 92-4-122-163-0115 and 92-4-122-164-0011. See Exhibit A for a copy of the application and related materials.
3. On October 29, 2010, November 9, 2010 and April 1, 2011 the wetland areas in proximity to the project area were field delineated by GAI Consultants. A portion of the wetlands have been approved by the WI DNR to be filled for this project as referenced in Exhibit B. As a result of the wetland staking and the subsequent permit to fill a small portion of the wetlands, the Village zoning map is proposed to be corrected to rezone the field delineated wetlands, excluding the wetlands allowed to be filled into the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District, and the non-wetlands into the M-4, Power Generating District as shown in Exhibit C.
4. Several new steel monopoles are proposed to be constructed near the switchyard station that is needed to route transmission lines in and out of the expanded switchyard station. One such structure has been approved by the WI DNR to be constructed within the wetlands area on the west side of the railroad and as noted by Peggy on the slide. One such as been approved by the DNR to be constructed within the wetlands on the west side of the railroad, and all other structures have been placed outside the wetlands but are located within the shoreland area of a tributary to the Jerome Creek. The project will

require the Village to issue a Stipulated Shoreland Permit for work within 75 feet of the ordinary high water mark of said navigable waterway.

The construction of the expanded switchyard and associated transmission structures and storm water facilities will result in the following work occurring within 75 feet of the tributary to Jerome Creek located along the west fence line of the existing switchyard:

- a. Expansion of a portion of the gravel substation pad and the perimeter fencing, as noted by Peggy;
- b. Construction of one monopole transmission structure outside of the west side of the switchyard 6.5 feet from ordinary high water mark and five transmission structures within the fence line of the updated switchyard at 63, 72, 72 and 74 and 74 feet from the ordinary high water mark respectively. The Village Zoning Board of Appeals held a public hearing earlier this evening on March 12, 2012 prior to this meeting to consider variances from the Village zoning ordinance in order to construct the one monopole transmission structure outside of the west side of the switchyard and five transmission structures within the fence line of the updated switchyard at various setbacks less than the 75 feet from the ordinary high water mark. They were all approved this evening.

An additional steel monopole structure is proposed to be constructed on the west side of the railroad tracks within the wetlands. The WI DNR has approved the construction of the monopole as shown as Exhibit B. A zoning text amendment that relates to this is proposed this evening to create Section 420-128 F (9) to specifically list and allow for transmission lines including electric power and natural gas as a conditional use within the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District.

5. As part of the switchyard expansion, a portion of ditch located within the 100-year floodplain is proposed to be converted to a storm sewer pipe. The storm sewer pipe has been designed to not block the flow of stormwater or the 100-year floodplain. In discussions with the WI DNR, this is not considered a floodplain map amendment and will not require approval from FEMA, Federal Emergency Management Agency, for this work, and that's noted in Exhibit D. However, compliance with the WI DNR Storm Water and Erosion Control Permit conditions dated February 22, 2012 is required. And that's provided as Exhibit E.
6. The proposed expansion of the switchyard area and the relocation of the microwave communication facility is allowed with approval of a conditional use permit in the M-4, Power Generating District, the C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District with approval of the text amendment as discussed above, and the FPO, Floodplain Overlay District.
7. Pursuant to Section 420-131 N (6) public utilities, streets and bridges are allowed in the 100-year floodplain with approval of a conditional use permit provided that in addition to all other requirements the following is required:

- Adequate flood proofing measures are provided to the flood protection elevation. Minor or auxiliary roads or nonessential utilities may be constructed in the flood-fringe at lower elevations; and
  - Construction does not cause an increase in the regional flood height equal to or greater than 0.01 foot, except where the water surface profiles, official floodplain zoning maps and this section are amended as needed to reflect any changes resulting from such construction.
8. The Communication Act of 1934 is the Federal regulation which governs the telecommunications industry; Section 322 of said Act, as amended by subsection (6) (iv) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, provides guidelines to state and local governments regarding the citing of antenna facilities. One such guideline governs what information may be considered during the zoning approval process. That is, as long as the antenna facility complies with emissions standards established by the FCC in Section 704(b), it is considered that there are no health or safety risks posed by the equipment. Specifically, local zoning authorities such as our Plan Commission may not directly or indirectly consider health or safety issues during the zoning process when considering a telecommunications facility, which falls under this Section. Pursuant to the Village Attorney, Section 704 of the 1996 Telecommunications Act prohibits the state/local units of government from denying a wireless communication company's request for local zoning approval based on environmental or health effects/concerns if the wireless communication company complies with the regulations on RF emissions set by the FCC.
  9. The petitioner and all of the abutting and adjacent property owners within 300 feet were notified via U.S. Mail on February 17, 2012. Notices were published in the *Kenosha News* on February 27, 2012 and March 5, 2012.
  10. The Village emailed the petitioner a copy of this staff report on March 9, 2012.
  11. According to the Village zoning ordinance, the Plan Commission shall not approve a Conditional Use Permit unless they first find after viewing the findings of fact, the application and related materials, as well as any information that's presented this evening, that the project as planned, will not violate the intent and purpose of the Village ordinance and meets the minimum standards for granting of a Conditional Use Permit. Furthermore, the Plan Commission shall not approve a site and operational plan application without finding in the decision that the application, coupled with satisfaction of any conditions of approval, will comply with all applicable federal ordinance requirements and all other applicable federal, State or local requirements regarding this project.

With that, the petitioner is in the audience, and I'd like to have them come up and make a brief presentation and, again, to give the Plan Commission a little information about the substation and the necessity for the substation project.

Neil Palmer:

Good evening. My name is Neil Palmer and I'm with American Transmission Company. I appreciate the opportunity to appear here tonight and applaud the process here of combining these three hearings. It actually would have been kind of hard to do them separately. It all works together. We've spent a great deal of time on this project to expand the substation adjacent to the Pleasant Prairie Power Plant which is being built to facilitate greater exchange of energy across the American Transmission System supplying our utility customers principally in this area with WE Energies.

This project actually has already been approved by the Wisconsin Public Service Commission as being in the public interest. Assuming we are successful in gaining the conditional use permit sought this evening and approval of the operation plan, we would anticipate starting construction very soon in the next few weeks as the weather holds out.

The point, as I mentioned, of this expanded station is simply to improve the ability to move power across the American Transmission system and to do so in a way that meets the new federal regulations and do it safely. Obviously, and I think as indicated by the approval of the Board of Appeals earlier tonight, it has to be in this location because it would be impractical to move to a different location and build a whole new station rather than expanding this one. I certainly do believe that the intent of this request in no way violates either your standards or ordinances or the minimum standards of a conditional use permit.

The three items before you tonight, first the zoning text amendment comes about really as an easier way in your process to process this application simply to allow as conditional uses items like the one pole in the Conservancy District as a conditional use as long as you look at it every time. In working with staff which as I indicated earlier we did I think quite extensively, it made sense that that was the most sensible way for you to do it and then have that tool in your tool kit for all the future applications you might have in different parts of the community. In terms of the text amendment, of course, that really is a response to your requirement that when any person or applicant for any kind of building maps, actually field delineates wetlands, we're required to turn that information over to you for you to update your map, and that's what this process is requesting. It comes based on the work we did as required by both the Village and the State of Wisconsin.

In terms of the conditional use and the site and operational plan, there's a lot of items in there, and I'll be happy to address any specific questions either I or Mr. Holtz who is also here with me from ATC tonight might be able to answer. I would say in addressing them that if you go through the extensive staff memo and all the attachments from other members of staff, we have no objections to any of the conditions that would be placed on this. We would intend to begin moving forward as soon as we can get in place the last pieces of permits and so on. But rather than go through each individual one I assume you wouldn't rather we'd do that. I'd be happy to address any questions you might have at this point.

Tom Terwall:

What we'll do, sir, as long as the public hearing is still open I'm going to entertain questions from anybody else in the audience and ask that you be available to make any responses that are necessary both to the public and to the commission.

Neil Palmer:

Be happy to.

Tom Terwall:

Thank you. Is there anybody else wishing to speak? Anybody else wishing to speak? Anybody else wishing to speak? Hearing none, I'm going to open it up to comments and questions from Commissioners and staff.

Don Hackbarth:

I've got a question. It may be kind of a foolish question but I'm not sure. What is a switchyard? What does it do?

Neil Palmer:

This of it as like your circuit breaker box in your basement. It controls the movement of energy. There are both transformers there which either raise or lower voltage, and there basically are switches that can move energy from one line to another line.

Don Hackbarth:

Okay. Then the last question I have is where is this power going to be distributed or what is the upgrade?

Neil Palmer:

Basically what it is is the ability to move power across our system more efficiently. The reality of today's world, even though in your case you happen to have a very large power plant right here in your community, the reality is that power is moving across the system all the time from different sources. The local utilities are buying and selling power on the minute-by-minute basis, striving always where can I find the cheapest power so that your and my rates are the cheapest. And when they do that they're moving – they require our system to be able to move that power. That very simplistically is how the energy business works. And what this station upgrade will do it will allow us to move power through this north/south corridor I'll call it much more efficiently and meet new federal guidelines as to how these stations have to be designed to operate safely and efficiently.

Tom Terwall:

Anybody else?



John Braig:

I need a little clarification. How many lines or feeds in and out of the station are there or will there be?

Neil Palmer:

This station right now has two lines going south, one 345, one 138, and the new line coming in from the north is a new 138 feed and there is a 345 feed plus it's connected to the power plant.

John Braig:

Plus the output of the plant.

Neil Palmer:

Yeah.

John Braig:

And the switchyard in effect will give the company the ability to interconnect or switch any of these lines –

Neil Palmer:

Really this work you could almost think of it in a way that would take place even if the power plant wasn't here. It so happens that the power plant is connected to it, but this is sort of a big junction location on the system that would exist even without the power plant here. There are a number of large switching stations and substations around the whole area, you see them here, you see them anywhere that has electric power. It's not just because there's a power plant. It's actually a bit of an advantage that it's here and we only have to expand it a little bit as opposed to finding a whole new site.

Tom Terwall:

Anybody else? Anybody else? I'm going to entertain a motion and we'll close the public hearing. I need a motion first of all to send a favorable recommendation to the Village Board to approve the zoning text amendment.

Don Hackbarth:

So moved.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**MOVED BY DON HACKBARTH AND SECONDED BY MICHAEL SERPE TO SEND A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD TO APPROVE THE ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT AS INDICATED. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered. Then we need a motion to send a favorable recommendation to the Village Board for zoning map amendment.

Michael Serpe:

So moved.

Jim Bandura:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**MOVED BY MICHAEL SERPE AND SECONDED BY JIM BANDURA TO SEND A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD ON THE ZONING MAP AMENDMENT SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTLINED IN THE STAFF MEMORANDUM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered. And finally a motion to approve the site and operational plan as indicated including the terms and conditions outlined in the staff memorandum.

John Braig:

So moved.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**MOVED BY JOHN BRAIG AND SECONDED BY MICHAEL SERPE TO APPROVE THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AND SITE AND OPERATIONAL PLANS. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered. I hope your weather continues.

Michael Serpe:

Tom, what do you think the chances are we can get them to go to Washington and handle the Keystone project?

**D. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT INCLUDING SITE AND OPERATIONAL PLANS for the request of Ken Schlager or HierComm, Inc. for the co-location of antennas and supporting electronics modules on the existing tower located at 1105 104th Street as part of the broadband wireless public safety communications network being installed throughout Kenosha County.**

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission and the audience, D is the public hearing and consideration of a conditional use permit including site and operational plans for the request of Ken Schlager or HierComm, Inc. for the co-location of antennas and supporting electronics modules on the existing tower located at 1105 104th Street as part of the broadband wireless public safety communications network being installed throughout Kenosha County.

As a part of the hearing record, the Village staff has compiled a listing of findings, exhibits and conclusions regarding the petitioner's request as presented and described below:

Findings of Fact

1. HierComm, Inc. is proposing to co-locate antennas and supporting electronics modules on the existing tower owned by TowerCo on property owned by the Village of Pleasant Prairie located at 1105 104th Street as part of the broadband wireless public safety communications network being installed throughout Kenosha County. It's identified at the Kenosha County 4.9/5.8 GHz Public Safety Wireless Communications System. See Exhibit A for a copy of the application and related materials.
2. The subject property is known as Lot 1 of CSM 2449 and is located in a part of the Northeast One-Quarter of U.S. Public Land Survey Section 30, Township 1 North, Range

23 East of the Fourth Principal Meridian, lying and being in the Village of Pleasant Prairie, County of Kenosha, State of Wisconsin and further identified as Tax Parcel Number 93-4-123-301-0901.

3. The subject property is zoned I-1, Institutional District, and a commercial communication structure, cell tower for example, and associated equipment requires a conditional use permit.
4. The Kenosha County 4.9/5.8 GHz Public Safety Wireless Communications System includes both a 4.9 GHz access network for public safety vehicles, law enforcement, firefighting and emergency medical services, and stationary sites and a 5.8 GHz backhaul network to interconnect the antenna base stations of the network. HierComm, Inc in partnership with the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission carried out a demonstration project during the October 2007 through May 2009 time period to establish the feasibility of this public safety communication system. The successful completion of this demonstration program led to the recent Phase 1 August 2010 contract for the permanent deployment of this network in a Phase 1 area which includes the City of Kenosha, the Village of Bristol and parts of the Towns of Somers and Paris. The Phase II and III coverage area constitutes the remaining part of Kenosha County.
5. According to additional information submitted by the applicant in an email dated February 8, 2012, an eight antenna complex will be installed at a height of 167 feet and a nine square foot lease area is on the ground for the ground mounted equipment, and it's provided as Exhibit B.
6. The 4.9/5.8 GHz network in the Village will consist of co-locating antennas and supporting electronics modules on the existing tower owned by TowerCo on property owned by the Village and 21 different relay station sites. The geographic locations of the relay station sites are indicated on the map on the slide in a table within Exhibit A and an illustration of the relay equipment is shown in Exhibit B. Arrangements are being made with each of the private or public agencies for relay sites at each sited location. [Note that each relay station site will not require a conditional use permit; however an electrical permit is required for each relay site, and if the sites are located within Village right-of-ways a work in the right-of-way permit is also required. The four proposed relay sites on Village-owned water storage tank sites will require HierComm/Kenosha County to enter into lease agreements with the Village.
7. A structural review has been completed for the tower and the proposed antennas will satisfy the structure strength requirements of ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005, Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas. It's an industry standard and the 2009 International Building Code. This letter is provided as part of Exhibit A.
8. The Village will enter into a ground lease agreement for the system tower and the apparatus on the TowerCo owned tower located on Village owned property. This is located southeast of the Village's water storage tank that's at the southeast corner of Highway 32 and 104<sup>th</sup> Street. A draft of the third amendment to the ground lease agreement has been provided to HierComm on January 18, 2012 and is proposed to be considered by the Village Board at its next meeting on March 19, 2012. The amended

ground lease will require Kenosha County to pay TowerCo for the ground lease area and in turn, TowerCo will pay the Village.

9. The Communication Act of 1934 is the Federal regulation, which governs the telecommunications industry; this Act provides guidelines to state and local governments regarding the citing of antenna facilities. The guidelines govern what information may be considered during the zoning approval process. And as long as the antenna facility complies with emissions standards established by the FCC in Section 704(b), it is considered that there are no health or safety risks posed by the equipment. So as such no environmental or health effects or concerns with respect to this system can be considered by the Village Plan Commission if the wireless communication company complies with the regulations of RF emissions set by the FCC.
10. The petitioner and all of the abutting and adjacent property owners within 300 feet were notified via U.S. Mail on February 10, 2012. Notices were published in the *Kenosha News* on February 27, 2012 and March 5, 2012.
11. The Village emailed the petitioner a copy of this staff report on March 9, 2012.
12. According to the Village zoning ordinance, the Plan Commission shall not approve a conditional use permit unless they find after viewing the findings of fact, the application, the information presented this evening and related materials that the project as planned, will not violate the intent and purpose of all Village Ordinance and meets the minimum standards for granting of a Conditional Use Permit. Furthermore, the Plan Commission shall not approve any site and operational plan application without finding in the decision as part of the staff comments that the application, coupled with satisfaction of any conditions of approval, will comply with all applicable Village ordinance requirements and all other federal, State or local requirements.

With that I'd like to continue the public hearing. There are representatives here if you'd like to hear any further explanation or understanding from them regarding this project.

Tom Terwall:

Would the representative care to add anything?

Marty Lacoock:

Good evening. My name is Marty Lacoock. I'm the director of IT or CIL for Kenosha County. This project is very large in nature for Kenosha County. The tower that we're talking about here is one of 16 that we're trying to put equipment on for the public safety communication system that we're trying to implement. The overall goal that we're trying to do is improve the communications to public safety vehicles, emergency vehicles as well. There is an issue with Kenosha County that we are noncompliant with SEGIS requirement for public safety as far as encrypting the data that we're transmitting. And this project is going to be very instrumental in allowing us to address that deficiency and move forward. So it's a big project for the County. We're investing \$1.3 million to expand this network, and this tower has been identified as very important for us to do so.

Tom Terwall:

Is there an estimated completion date at this point?

Marty Lacock:

Well, we would expect that the 16 towers should be installed by I would say mid year, June or July. We've got 8 already completed, and we would expect that once permits are provided, essentially it's a day's activity to go ahead and get the antennas mounted on the towers. But we would expect June/July we should be completed with the tower implementations. Probably by the end of the year we should be available with out network overall in the County.

Tom Terwall:

Mike, are we still experiencing dead zones along the lake or has that pretty well been resolved.

Mike Pollocoff:

We've resolved that, yes [inaudible].

Tom Terwall:

So do you not anticipate that the Village system will be affected for both fire or rescue.

Mike Pollocoff:

Not at this time [inaudible] sometime [inaudible] relationships between [inaudible] and they're working on theirs. But at some point [inaudible].

Marty Lacock:

So one of the things that we're trying to do here is this is what we're essentially is building the data communications highway and putting it in place. And when we talk about high speed networks, broadbands, we're talking 10 to 20 megabytes per second. Today Kenosha County Sheriff and KPD are operating at 48 K, so we're talking speeds that are 100 times faster. And we clearly believe that in the future there's going to be more and more data requirements that are going to be coming down, whether it's public safety, whether it's fire, sending the blueprints of the building that's on fire to a fire rescue team, etc.

So really what we're trying to do here is put the infrastructure in place. Definitely Kenosha County Sheriff is on board with this 100 percent. They will implement it. For other organizations, other municipalities we're saying we'll build it, we'll show you how well it works, if you want to integrate, if you want to use it that's fine, we'll work something out. But really what we're trying to do is we've got 289 square miles here of the County that we're trying to go end to end with it with 16 towers, probably 100 or 120 relays, and we understand what Pleasant Prairie is doing with their communications, we understand that, and we would just the opportunity to put the infrastructure in place. And if it makes sense in the future for Pleasant

Prairie to do something for public safety to get onto the network that's great. We would enjoy that and look for that to happen.

Michael Serpe:

Just a question. Do I understand this is going to be a designated channel, one channel for all of Kenosha County that would be used for emergency purposes?

Marty Lacock:

It's a frequency. It's 4.9 which is an FCC licensed frequency for public safety. And it's purely at this point dedicated to data traffic, no voice. So there is no changes to our voice radio systems that we have in place today, the FHS systems.

Michael Serpe:

If the Village were to participate in this, what would be the approximate cost from our aspect?

Marty Lacock:

What I think we have committed to and indicated it would be comparable to what you pay for air cards today. So we would charge the same amount or we would look for the same contribution that you pay for the air cards. So if it's \$40 or \$50 or \$30, whatever per vehicle that's what you would pay.

Michael Serpe:

With the same quality of service.

Marty Lacock:

Hopefully better.

Michael Serpe:

And better?

Marty Lacock:

Yes, yes, sir. So today we're talking 10 to 20 megabytes per second. As you know technology is ever changing. We're looking that probably by the end of the year we'll be at 20 to 40 megabytes per second. Air cards are probably 3 to 5 to 10 range depending on what the reception is. So we're looking to do better than that.

Michael Serpe:

Has the City indicated an interest in this?

Marty Lacoek:

Absolutely, yes.

Michael Serpe:

They have?

Marty Lacoek:

Yes. The biggest application that we have today is streaming video, that we'll be able to with the squad cars we'll be able to stream actual live video back from the squad cars into a control center, and there will be situational awareness as far as what's going on, how to react, future or further direction to the squads on how they should proceed. So it's very significant.

Michael Serpe:

If we get to that point, and this will be managed by Joint Services?

Marty Lacoek:

Yes.

Michael Serpe:

Do you anticipate additional staffing at Joint Services to handle this at full capacity?

Marty Lacoek:

No, no we don't. We've contracted with HierComm. They're our provider. They are doing the – they've done the design, they're working on the implementation, and they're covering the operations of it. So the ongoing operating costs are the responsibility of Kenosha County. So as we bring on municipalities that would like to use this so the \$30 or \$40 or \$50 per air card equivalent would help us offset the ongoing operational costs for HierComm to manage this. But it's really managed by the County.

Michael Serpe:

And right now Pleasant Prairie has their own dispatch center. What's the talk on that?

Marty Lacoek:

This has nothing to do with that.

Michael Serpe:

Nothing to do with it.



Tom Terwall:

This isn't voice at all.

Michael Serpe:

Just information would still go to Joint Services and if needed go back out to Pleasant Prairie?

Marty Lacock:

Yes, yes. This is a data communications network. It's infrastructure being put in place. So instead of using a Verizon air card in a vehicle you could potentially use the HierComm card.

Michael Serpe:

Just one other question. When we mention Joint Services, this information is captured in Joint Services dispatch center or their records center? Where does it go in Joint Services?

Marty Lacock:

Well, I guess the streaming video is different for Kenosha Police Department than it is for Kenosha Sheriff so that will go directly to the individual control centers. The data communications aspect to the State would be routed through probably a network that is co-supportive between Joint Services and Kenosha County. So we've done a lot of work, and Pleasant Prairie currently has some network connections back into the County that allows communications to the State for tracks and Cisco and those type of things for communications in the vehicles.

Tom Terwall:

Have the City and County come to terms as to what the cost sharing part of this is going to be? Or, is this going to be a continuation of the ongoing peeing contest, excuse my French. I'm putting you on the spot.

Marty Lacock:

I'm a technician, I'm not a politician.

Tom Terwall:

I appreciate that. Thank you. John, you had a comment?

John Braig:

From what you tell us then this will not benefit communications for activities under the mutual assistance program?

Marty Lacoock:

No, it won't. There is another set of radio equipment that supports the communications, and that is actually all being upgraded right now. There's a new director with narrow banding. I'm not sure if you're aware of that or not. But the MABIS equipment and those type of things all being replaced and upgraded. So that activity is totally separate at this point.

Tom Terwall:

Before I open it up to the staff, does anybody in the audience have a comment or question that they would like to raise? Anybody else? Hearing none, anything further from Commissioners?

Michael Serpe:

One last question. The data and information collected, right – if everybody joined into this is that mutually shared by everybody?

Marty Lacoock:

No, no it is not. So all the deputies cars, the police vehicles they have mobile data computers, and they have different systems that have different databases that they access information and compile information. So until we get into a situation Kenosha County Sheriff, Kenosha Police use the same system, share the same database. Pleasant Prairie uses a different system, different database. So there is really no communication between the information collected between those databases. They are different systems.

Don Hackbarth:

Can you give me an example of some information or data that would be encrypted? What kind of data?

Marty Lacoock:

All data that goes to the State, they pull you over, they ask for are you suspected, are you warranted, are you legal, all that information needs to be encrypted.

Don Hackbarth:

Because a lot of that information you get a radio scanner and a lot of that information is verbal.

Tom Terwall:

But we're not talking verbal.

Don Hackbarth:

I understand. The other thing is, too, I think it was last week in the *Chicago Tribune* it was kind of a ruckus with people in the neighborhood. There was a lady saying that she didn't like the

system standing out in front of her house. What they do is they have a box on a power pole and then there's an antenna on top of it. And they said it has about a five mile range, and they're starting to spot these all over the City to make that coverage more blanketed. Is that the direction this is going in instead of the antenna poles?

Marty Lacock:

Definitely we're on 16 towers so we would look for additional relay locations which could be on a water tower, they could be on a silo, they could be on a barn, they could be on a utility pole. We are using 16 to 20 siren towers that we have or siren locations that we have for public safety that we're mounting relays. So I would say it's a very small footprint of what's going to be installed. But, yes, it's very similar to if you're familiar with implementation in Chicago it's something like clear wire or clear where they have small antennas that are mounted either on a house or a facility, it's very similar to that.

Don Hackbarth:

I think what they're trying to get away from is having a large pole in the neighborhood, a large pole.

Marty Lacock:

Yes, definitely.

Don Hackbarth:

And, like I say, is that the way this thing is going now? Are they trying to eliminate the poles and going to these smaller –

Marty Lacock:

Yes, yes. For 280 square miles we're looking for 16 towers. And in order to – the tower will have a two to three or four mile radius depending on the topography and the ground coverage, and then we'll look for the relays to extend that coverage further from the tower. That's the intention. It makes it much more cost effective for us to do that.

John Braig:

What's the power output of these transmitters?

–:

Milliwatts, it's not high powered.

John Braig:

Light bulbs.

—:

The cellular [inaudible].

—:

Can you come to the microphone?

Russ Schlager:

Yes, I'll introduce myself. My name is Russ Schlager. I'm with HierComm, Inc. And to answer your question our transmitters for this 4.9 public safety are considered low power. In fact they would be considered very low power. We don't go long ranges, and then the relays pick up the range that we don't have. And to your question about the relays being the trend, in all of Kenosha County think of a number of about 100, and considering the fact we want heights like silos, etc., you won't see neighborhood poles where there's a relay where someone comes out of their house and is staring at it. Ours are going to be on much larger structures and there are not going to be as many.

Tom Terwall:

Mike, you have further comment?

Michael Serpe:

I was going to ask a little bit more on the sharing of information here. I'm a little confused on it, but I don't think I'm going to bring it up now. What I was going to get to is if I'm a city squad and I have information on the party I'm looking for, and the next night maybe a squad in Silver Lake pulls this guy over, how do I know that? Shouldn't that something be – if you have an input on a wanted or input on information concerning something that happened in your community and somebody has information we don't have that ability to share.

Marty Lacock:

Central database. That's another facet. That's really public safety software. And there's a number of projects that we're working on with the County. We've got really three. We've got a fiber network that we're putting in place; we have the public safety communications with HierComm putting the highway in place. And then the other project that we're working on with Joint Services is a public safety software system. So they're going out and they're taking a look, and they need to replace their MDC systems, they're using two guys in a garage, Data Pursuit. And Cisco for their RMS system. So they're looking at changing the software which allows communications to other municipalities and public service entities. So that's another phase of projects that we're also working on as well.

Tom Terwall:

If there's no further comments I'm going to close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion to approve the conditional use permit including the site and operational plan.

Don Hackbarth:

So moved, Mr. Chairman.

John Braig:

Subject to attached comments?

Tom Terwall:

Yes. Is there a second?

John Braig:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**IT'S BEEN MOVED BY DON HACKBARTH AND SECONDED BY JOHN BRAIG TO APPROVE THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT INCLUDING THE SITE AND OPERATIONAL PLAN PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTLINED IN THE STAFF MEMORANDUM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered. Thank you.

**E. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION #12-05 FOR A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT to correct errors on the 2035 Land Use Plan Map 9.9 that incorrectly shows all or portions of the following parcels within the "Other Transportation, Communications and Utilities" land use designation. The parcels should be correctly shown in the "Primary Environmental Corridor" land use designation. The parcels include Tax Parcel Numbers: 93-4-123-292-0425; 93-4-123-292-0430; 93-4-123-292-0435; 93-4-123-292-0440; 93-4-123-292-0445; 93-4-123-301-0195; 93-4-123-301-0200; 93-4-123-301-0205; 93-4-123-301-0210; and 93-4-123-301-0240. In addition, Appendix 10-3 entitled "Amendments to the 2035 Land Use Plan Map 9.9 is proposed to be updated to reference said changes.**

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission, this is a public hearing and consideration of Resolution 12-05 for a comprehensive plan amendment to correct errors on the 2035 Land Use Plan Map 9.9 that incorrectly shows all or portions of the following parcels within the Other

Transportation, Communications and Utilities land use designation. The parcels should be correctly shown in the Primary Environmental Corridor land use designation. And I will read all of these parcels as part of the presentation this evening.

On January 9, 2012, the Village Plan Commission approved Resolution #12-03 to initiate amendments to the Village Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Chapter 390 of the Village Code of Ordinances related to mapping errors found on the Land Use Plan Map 9.9. These reflected some errors down in an area of Pleasant Prairie known as the Carol Beach Estates Unit # 3 subdivision area where these specific lots were shown under the Other Transportation, Communication and Utilities land use designation, and they should have been in the Primary Environmental Corridor designation.

The following amendments are proposed this evening. Again, these are on different maps, but the Tax Parcel Numbers are 93-4-123-292-0425 at 123 198<sup>th</sup> Street owned by Jay T Jackson. The next is 93-4-123-292-0430 on 108<sup>th</sup> Street. It's owned by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. The next is 93-4-123-292-0435 on 108<sup>th</sup> Street owned by Vincenzo Degiorgis. The next is 93-4-123-292-0440 on 3<sup>rd</sup> Court owned by the Wisconsin DNR. The next is 93-4-123-292-0445 on 3<sup>rd</sup> Court owned by the Wisconsin DNR. The next is 93-4-123-301-0195 at 10720 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue owned by Jon A. Ross. The next 93-4-123-301-0200 at 10720 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue owned by Jon A. Ross. The next is 93-4-123-301-0205 on 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue owned by the Wisconsin DNR. The next is 93-4-123-301-0210 on 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue owned by the Wisconsin DNR. And, finally, one at 93-4-123-301-0240 at 10544 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue owned by Thomas A. and Jane M. Lyon.

Secondly, to amend Appendix 10-3 entitled Amendments to the 2035 Land Use Plan Map 9.9 to reference said amendments on the Land Use Plan as shown on Exhibit 1 of the Resolution 12-05.

Just as a reminder of what primary environmental corridors are, they are long linear areas containing natural resources of at least 400 acres in size, typically at least two miles long and 200 feet wide. Primary environmental corridors generally lie along rivers and streams and adjacent to lakes or associated with woodlands, wetlands, parks or open space size.

The majority of the land within the Carol Beach Chiwaukee Prairie area are located within lands that are being acquired by public agencies for permanent protection based on a willing seller/willing buyer basis. Since the adoption of the Land Use Management Plan for the Chiwaukee Prairie Carol Beach Area of the Town of Pleasant prairie that was adopted in 1980s, although properties may be located within the primary environmental corridors, the existing family homes and new single family homes are allowed provided that the lot abuts a constructed public street, the structures can meet all the required setbacks including setbacks to any wetlands and navigable waterways, and no structure is constructed within the 100-year floodplain.

And, just as a reminder, secondary environmental corridors serve to link primary environmental corridors or encompass areas containing concentrations of natural resources between 100 and 400 acres in size. No minimum area or length criteria applies, but they do not connect primary corridors – secondary environmental corridors that do not connect primary corridors must be at least 100 acres in size and one mile long. Finally, isolated natural resources areas are at least five acres in size and 200 feet wide but are not large enough to meet the size or length criteria for a primary or a secondary corridor.

So, again, we would like to make these corrections for these particular areas. This is a matter for public hearing, and this Plan Commission Resolution would approve amendments to the Comprehensive Plan. So with that I'll continue the hearing.

Tom Terwall:

Anybody wishing to speak on this matter? Anybody wishing to speak? Anybody wishing to speak? I have one question, Jean. I'm looking at the chart you gave us with the parcel numbers and the addresses. The two parcels that are owned by Jon A. Ross, is it common to have two parcels with the same address?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Sure, if the house is on one parcel and there's vacant land adjacent to it and they have not combined them. They could have two different parcel numbers with one street address.

Don Hackbarth:

Was this a part of the 2035 Plan?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Yes.

Don Hackbarth:

Okay. How did this get missed or something?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

I'm not sure how we missed it. We have almost 15,000 –

Don Hackbarth:

I know you're fallible.

John Braig:

Don, they're just a little bit short of infallible.

Don Hackbarth:

Have the people been notified of this change?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Yes. And a couple of them did speak with Peggy because they were concerned if they had single family homes, and it does not affect their existing home.

Michael Serpe:

And a followup to Tom's questions, Ross has two parcel numbers, but you said they haven't been combined. If they haven't been combined how can they have two numbers?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

They haven't been combined so they have two separate tax parcel numbers, but the mail to address is still the same. It will be mailed to the house but we give it the same mail to address.

Michael Serpe:

I was thinking of one parcel. Okay.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

No, two parcels.

Tom Terwall:

So if something were to – let's say if one of those two has a house on it and the other one is vacant, if he were to sell the vacant parcel and somebody were to build a house and it meets all the criteria then it would get an address.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Correct, we would assign a new address.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval of 12-05.

John Braig:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**THERE'S BEEN A MOTION BY MICHAEL SERPE AND A SECOND BY JOHN BRAIG TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 12-05 SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTLINED IN THE STAFF MEMORANDUM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.



Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

**F. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF SEVERAL ZONING MAP AMENDMENTS for properties located within the Carol Beach/Chiwaukee Prairie area south of approximately 80th Street, east of Sheridan Road north of 128th Street and west of Lake Michigan that have been acquired in 2010-2011 by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, The Nature Conservancy of Wisconsin, Kenosha County or the Village of Pleasant Prairie. The following Tax Parcel Numbers are being rezoned into the C-3, Natural and Scientific Area Resource Conservancy District: 93-4-123-292-0445; 93-4-123-292-0560; 93-4-123-292-0555; 93-4-123-301-0040; 93-4-123-322-0238; 93-4-123-322-1160; and 93-4-123-323-0980. The following Tax Parcel Numbers are being rezoned into the PR-1, Park-Recreational District: 93-4-123-292-0391 (portion of). The following Tax Parcel Numbers are being rezoned into or C-1 Lowland Resource Conservancy District: 93-4-123-194-0990.**

Jean Werbie-Harris:

This is also a public hearing in consideration of several zoning map amendments for properties located within the Carol Beach/Chiwaukee Prairie area south of approximately 80th Street, east of Sheridan Road north of 128th Street and west of Lake Michigan that have been acquired in 2010-2011 by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, The Nature Conservancy of Wisconsin, Kenosha County or the Village of Pleasant Prairie. The following Tax Parcel Numbers are being rezoned into the C-3, Natural and Scientific Area Resource Conservancy District: 93-4-123-292-0445; 93-4-123-292-0560; 93-4-123-292-0555; 93-4-123-301-0040; 93-4-123-322-0238; 93-4-123-322-1160; and 93-4-123-323-0980. The following Tax Parcel Numbers are being rezoned into the PR-1, Park-Recreational District: 93-4-123-292-0391 or a portion of that one. The following Tax Parcel Number is being rezoned into the C-1 Lowland Resource Conservancy District: 93-4-123-194-0990.

On January 9, 2012, the Plan Commission adopted Resolution #12-02 to initiate several zoning map amendments for properties that have been acquired in the public's interest in 2010 and 2011 pursuant to Community Assistance Planning report No. 88, entitled A Land Use Management Plan for the Chiwaukee Prairie-Carol Beach Area of the Town of Pleasant Prairie. That Plan was dated February 1985. This Plan recommended that ultimately all the lands within the Plan identified as an open space preservation area should be placed in the C-3, Natural and Scientific Area Resource Conservancy District, the designated natural resource base preservation and protection area down in Chiwaukee Prairie.

Specifically, the Wisconsin DNR and The Nature Conservancy of Wisconsin have identified land acquisition areas for permanent resource protection. The Land Use Management Plan recommended that Kenosha County and Pleasant Prairie proceed on an incremental basis, willing seller/willing buyer basis in the following manner. The then Kenosha County and now Village of Pleasant prairie shall follow the Wisconsin Administrative Code that requires rezoning of wetlands within the shoreland area of Lake Michigan, and the remaining lands within the proposed open space preservation area shall either be preserved as wetlands beyond the shoreland

zone or a significant upland. Such lands shall remain in their current zoning category until the acquisition takes place, and then the lands would need to be rezoned into the C-3 District as we are doing this evening.

The properties that have been acquired in the public's interest were evaluated and are proposed to be rezoned into an appropriate zoning classification pursuant to the plan. And, as I read previously, all of those properties are proposed to be either rezoned into the C-1 District, C-3 District, some are being rezoned from the R-5 District and being placed in other districts as all noted in the staff comments.

One thing to note is that in those areas where there's a Limited Urban Service Overlay District that will remain on the lots in question. In addition, any portions of the properties that are located within the FPO which is the Floodplain Overlay District or within a shoreland boundary will remain in those districts as well. And this is a matter for public hearing.

Tom Terwall:

Is there anybody wishing to speak on this matter? Anybody wishing to speak? Anybody wishing to speak? Hearing none, I'll open it up to comments from Commissioners. Hearing none, what's your pleasure?

Don Hackbarth:

Move approval.

Jim Bandura:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

**IT'S BEEN MOVED BY DON HACKBARTH AND SECONDED BY JIM BANDURA TO SEND A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION OF REZONING THE LOTS INDICATED IN THE MEMO. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

**G. Consider the request of Karen Skowronski, to install street lights at the intersection of Old Green Bay Road and 85th Street.**

Jean Werbie-Harris:

And these would be street lights at the intersection of Old Green Bay Road and 85<sup>th</sup> Street. The Village received a request from Karen Skowronski, a Village resident. She does not live in this vicinity but she travels in this area. And she's requesting to have the Village consider to install streetlights at the intersection of Old Green Bay Road and 85<sup>th</sup> Street. The petitioner indicates that the intersection is nearly impossible to see when you're driving at night, and it's even more difficult because of the curve of the road as well as having woods on either side as you're approaching 85<sup>th</sup> Street from the south.

The criteria that the Village uses for installing a public street lights include:

- At entrances to subdivisions form heavily traveled State Highways, County Highways or Village Roads.
- At hazardous Village street intersections that would present a danger to life and property without a street light.
- At such other locations that would mutually benefit Pleasant Prairie and the property owners.

Pursuant to an email from the Village Fire Chief, the Fire & Rescue Department has responded to two motor vehicle accidents at this intersection in the last nine years; one was a daytime accident and the other accident took place at night in March of 2003 while it was snowing. Pursuant to the Fire Chief, their data does not support the need for a street light but they would not oppose at light at this location.

Pursuant to an email from the Police Department, the Chief does not believe that a light at the intersection of Old Green Bay Road and 85<sup>th</sup> Street is needed. This intersection benefits from the lighting at Green Bay Road and 85<sup>th</sup> Street. The Chief believes that some lighting would be beneficial on Old Green Bay Road to the south of the curve south of 85<sup>th</sup> Street as this is a dark area with a lot of large pine trees blocking the light from the Green Bay Road/85<sup>th</sup> Street intersection. There have been no accidents at either location attributable to the lack of lighting.

This request has been sent to the Street Light Committee for their review. The Village received a recommendation from one member of the committee that he would support the installation of street lights at this intersection due to the darkness, traffic volume trees and being adjacent to a buy intersection. The other Street Light Committee member is on the Commission tonight and can make his recommendation.

The Village has reviewed the intersection and recommends that if approved a street light could be placed on the existing pole at the southeast corner, and a new pole with a light would be installed at the northwest corner. As you can see on the slide identified by the stars is where the Village's electrician would recommend that the lights be installed if, in fact, lights are approved. And if you are coming from the south it is dark at night, and your vision is blocked by all of the trees if you're not familiar that there is an S curve leading up to 85<sup>th</sup> Street.

Tom Terwall:

There's very little traffic coming from the north, am I correct? I mean it's a dead end road.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

That's correct, there is a church –

Tom Terwall:

Three houses and a church.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

And it's also now a polling place for the Village of Pleasant Prairie.

John Braig:

And to the south there's very little traffic. I would venture to say I have an intersection in my area and it's a little bit unique. There's some twisty turned roads, and there's a road that goes off to one direction, and a little further a branch goes off in another direction. I'll guarantee you that I have 25 more times traffic going by that corner than there is on this corner up there. We've got two professionals that have said there is no light needed here. I can't support a light here. Another thing which I wish was always brought up in these presentations is what is the annual cost of this lighting installation or two lights that we're talking about. I think that should be a consideration. We don't have money to throw away.

Mike Pollocoff:

Approximately \$135 a year per light.

John Braig:

Per light. Thank you.

Tom Terwall:

Mike, you had a comment. Do you play golf at this intersection?

Michael Serpe:

No. I'm the other committee member that doesn't support this. If I'm not mistaken if 82<sup>nd</sup> Street goes through to Old Green Bay Road like it was anticipated to do it would come out to 85<sup>th</sup> Street. Right now I'm not going to support putting a street light on this corner, but I will support a street light on that corner if the City puts through 82<sup>nd</sup> Street to Old Green Bay Road. Then you'll have some traffic, then we'll have a need. I don't see the need right now. I just think at that time the consideration could be taken up, not right now.

John Braig:

Good point.

Tom Terwall:

Is there even room for 82<sup>nd</sup> Street to go through now?

John Braig:

There's vacant land – if you look at the subdivision, and I haven't seen a plat, but if you look at what geography is there it looks like there is room for a right of way there.

Mike Pollocoff:

There is a right of way there.

Tom Terwall:

There is.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

With tight three barricades. The Village Police Chief did suggest possibly a light further down on the curve. I'm not sure if that's something the Plan Commission wanted to consider.

John Braig:

It's still a low traffic roadway.

Michael Serpe:

That's the whole thing and, John, I have to agree with you. This warrant does not warrant the lights because there's not enough traffic on it. If we're worried about polling nights, maybe a standard sign on the corner indicating polling place here, use caution or whatever. But just to put a street light when less than 200 feet away you have a lighted intersection.

Tom Terwall:

Mike, do we have street lights at the intersection of Old Green Bay Road and 93<sup>rd</sup> Street? No.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Where the Family Catholic Bookstore is?

Tom Terwall:

No, that's 91<sup>st</sup>.

Mike Pollocoff:

Are you talking 93<sup>rd</sup> or 95<sup>th</sup>?

Tom Terwall:

I mean 95<sup>th</sup>.

Mike Pollocoff:

No.

Tom Terwall:

There are no lights. Because that intersection is pretty similar to this one.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Except that's wide open and then there's no trees at all.

John Braig:

Well, nobody is driving through the trees.

Tom Terwall:

You have the same curve coming into it, though, from the south.

Mike Pollocoff:

That's a busier intersection.

John Braig:

Move denial of the request.

Michael Serpe:

I'll second that.

Tom Terwall:

**IT'S BEEN MOVED JOHN BRAIG AND SECONDED BY MICHAEL SERPE TO DENY THE REQUEST TO INSTALL STREET LIGHTS AT THE INTERSECTION OF OLD GREEN BAY ROAD AND 85<sup>TH</sup> STREET. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY – I'M SORRY, THAT MOTION GOES TO RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD. SO I'LL REPHRASE THAT. THE MOTION AND THE SECOND IS TO SEND THE**

**RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD TO NOT APPROVE THE  
INSTALLATION OF STREET LIGHTS AT OLD GREEN BAY ROAD AND 85<sup>TH</sup>  
STREET. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.**

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed?

Don Hackbarth:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

So ordered.

**7. ADJOURN.**

John Braig:

So moved.

Judy Juliana:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

All in favor say aye.

Voices:

Aye.

**Meeting Adjourned: 7:10 p.m.**